Exhibit C

05-44481-rdd Doc 16558-4 Filed 04/13/09 Entered 04/13/09 22:28:27 Exhibit C Pq 2 of 3

02/27/2009 11:41 2127514864

I ATHAM&WATKINS LLP

February 26, 2009

LATHAM WATKINS

PAGE 02/03

885 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10022-4834

Tel: +1.212.906.1200 Fax: +1.212.751.4864

www.lw.com

53rd at Third

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES

Abu Dhabi Ватсејопа

Munich New Jersey

Brussels Chicago

New York Northern Virginia

Doha

Orange County

Dubai

Paris

Frankfurt Hamburg

Rome San Diego San Francisco

Hong Kong London

Shanghai Sillcon Valley

Los Angales Madrid

Singapore

Milen Moscow

Tokyo Washington, D.C.

VIA U.S. MAIL AND FACSIMILE: (212) 668-2255

Brian S. Masumoto Office of the United States Trustee 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor New York, NY 10004

> In re Delphi Corporation, et al.: Official Committee of Equity Security Holders Re:

Dear Mr. Masumoto:

You may recall that this firm represents the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Creditors' Committee") that has been appointed in the chapter 11 cases of Delphi Corporation and certain of its affiliates (collectively, the "Debtors"). The Creditors' Committee understands that a few months ago, counsel for the Debtors communicated to you about the possibility of disbanding the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders (the "Equity Committee") that has been appointed in these cases. The Creditors' Committee further understands that in response to that communication, the Office of the United States Trustee noted that a "process" would need to be commenced to disband the Equity Committee.

In light of the Debtors' current financial state and future financial outlook, the Creditors' Committee urges the Office of the United States Trustee to begin and complete whatever process is required to disband the Equity Committee. As you may be aware, the Debtors not only are "hopelessly insolvent," but their general unsecured creditors most likely will not receive a very significant recovery from the Debtors' estates through any confirmed revised chapter 11 plan of reorganization or through a liquidation. Accordingly, the continued existence of the Equity Committee would serve no purpose other than creating additional administrative expenses for the benefit of its various professionals. These additional administrative expenses would only reduce the Debtors' liquidity and diminish the potential recoveries to general unsecured creditors. The Debtors' estates would not receive any benefit in return for these additional expenses. In fact, the Equity Committee may deem itself duty-bound to litigate against any chapter 11 plan that provides nothing to equity security holders (thus creating even more delay and expense for the Debtors' estates). Unfortunately, however, the Creditors' Committee believes that there is no conceivable scenario in which holders of existing equity interests would receive any distribution from the Debtors' estates under the Absolute Priority Rule. To put it succinctly, while the

05-44481-rdd Doc 16558-4 Filed 04/13/09 Entered 04/13/09 22:28:27 Exhibit C Pg 3 of 3

02/27/2009 11:41

2127514864

LATHAM WATKINS

PAGE 03/03

February 26, 2009 Page 2

LATHAM&WATKINS LLP

appointment of the Equity Committee probably made sense earlier in the Debtors' chapter 11 cases, its continued existence no longer makes sense now.

Please feel free to contact me if you would like to discuss this matter further, and let me know if the Creditors' Committee or my firm can be of any assistance.

Best regards,

Robert J. Rosenberg

of LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

cc. John Wm. Butler, Jr.